REMARKS

Summary Of The Office Action

Claims 1-7 are pending in the application.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for withdrawing the rejection of claims 3-7 and for indicating that these claims are directed to allowable subject matter. These claims, however, are objected to as being dependent on a rejected claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

The Examiner has withdrawn the previous rejection of claims 1 and 2 as being anticipated by Yuen et al, and has now rejected claims 1 and 2 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Yoshino (USP 5,548,304).

Analysis of the Rejection of Claims 1-2

Applicant submits that claim 1 is not anticipated by Yoshino at least because Yoshino fails to teach or disclose the claimed "transmitting from an OSD source" step of claim 1. In his analysis, the Examiner states:

Yoshino discloses an OSD source for transmitting a plurality of OSD multi cursor display data by giving each OSD multi cursor display data a peculiar ID and transmitting a selected OSD multi cursor ID and display location information in case that there is an OSD

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 U.S. APP. NO. 09/840,467

multi cursor display command from said OSD source remote controller (col. 4, lines 46-61; figs. 2-3; col. 6, lines 26-40).

Applicant submits, however, that Yoshino does not disclose transmitting from an OSD source a plurality of OSD multi cursor display data. The portions of Yoshino cited by the Examiner describe that cursor data are stored in cursor data storage unit 10. There is no teaching that a plurality of OSD multi cursor display data are transmitted from an OSD source. If the Examiner were somehow to consider that the cursor data storage unit 10 is an OSD source that transmits cursor data, then the analysis would be contradictory in that the cursor data storage unit 10 does not perform a step of "transmitting only an OSD multi cursor ID and display location information from said OSD source to said display apparatus", as required by claim 1.

Claim 1 is amended to positively recite that the OSD source both transmits a plurality of OSD multi cursor display data and gives each OSD multi cursor display data a peculiar ID.

Analogous comments apply to claim 2.

For at least the reasons set forth above, Applicant submits that claims 1 and 2 are not anticipated by Yoshino.

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 U.S. APP. NO. 09/840,467

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

Peter A. McKenna

Registration No. 38,551

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060 Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{washington office} \\ 23373 \\ \text{customer number} \end{array}$

Date: September 30, 2005